arXiv:cond-mat/9902115v1 9 Feb 1999

A Note on the Proof of Magnetic Flux Quantization from ODLRO *

Feng Sze-Shiang [1,2], Zhu Dong-Pei [2]
1. *High Energy Section, ICTP, Trieste, 34100, Italy*
e-mail:fengss@ictp.trieste.it
1.*CCAST(World Lab.), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080*
2.*Department of Modern Physics , University of Science and Technology of China, 230026, Hefei, Chin*
e-mail:zhdp@ ustc.edu.cn#

Abstract

It is noticed that the excellent proof of the connection of magnetic flux quantization and off-diagonal long range order(ODLRO) presented recently by Nieh, Su and Zhao suffers from an imperfection, namely, the f-factors in the case of finite translation do not satisfy $f(\mathbf{a})f(\mathbf{b}) = f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$, which was imployed in the proof. A corrected proof is proposed to remedy this point.

PACS number(s): 75.10.Lp,71.20.Ad,74.65.+n,74.20.Mn
Key words: flux quantization, ODLRO

The study of ODLRO of fermions, especially those strongly correlated, has drawn much attentio recently[1] -[16] since the onset of ODLRO implies a phase transition in the system. This trend investigations is currently believed to be able to sheed some light on the explanation of the high- superconductivity in cuprates by a number of physicists. In his original paper[17], Yang argued th the existence of ODLRO will likely lead to Meisner effect and magnetic flux quantization. The k

---

# Corresponding adress

[*] On leave of absence from the Physics Department of Shanghai University, 201800, Shanghai, China

spirit of the argument asserts that the dependence of the thermodynamic partition function on th flux, which provides phase factors responsible for space tranlations of the reduced density matric , is very similar to the dependence of Bloch wave function on the wave vector in crystals. Althoug this argument is intuitive, it is not clearcut and direct. Quite recently, Sewell[18] gave a proof of th relationship of Meisner effect and ODLRO. Later, Nieh, Su and Zhao [19] extended the proof to th case of flux quantization. Yet, their extention suffers from an imperfection when the lattice potenti is taken into consideration. This note is to remedy this point.

In general, the reduced density matrix $\rho_2(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ can enjoy a spectral seperation[17]

$$\rho_2(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_s \alpha_s \Phi_s(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2)\Phi^*_s(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$$

where $\Phi_s(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ are normalized eigenfunctions of ρ_2 with eigenvalues α_s:

$$\int \Phi^*_s(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\Phi_s(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 = 1$$

$$\int \rho_2(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \Phi_s(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \alpha_s \Phi_s(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2)$$

The existence of ODLRO in ρ_2 means that in the off-diagonal long range (ODLR) limit, i.e., $\mathbf{r}'_i - \mathbf{r}_j \mid \to \infty$ while keeping $\mid \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 \mid$ and $\mid \mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{r}'_2 \mid$ finite, ρ_2 behaves as

$$\rho_2(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \to \alpha \Phi(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2)\Phi^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$$

where α is the largest eigenvalue which is of order $O(N)$. The important observation made in[19] that the space translation $\mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}$ will effect a gauge transformation, based on which two comple sets of basis functions were obtained. As a consequence, the transform property of ρ_2 is

$$\rho_2(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2; \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \exp\{\frac{ie}{\hbar c}[\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}'_1) + \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}'_2) - \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}_1) - \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}_2)]\}\rho_2(\mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}'_2 - \mathbf{a}; \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{a})$$

where $\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{r}) + \phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}) - \phi(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{A}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}, \phi(\mathbf{r})$ is a gauge function which is in gener multivalued. Therefore, in the ODLR limit, we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}'_1, \mathbf{r}'_2)\Phi^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \to \exp\{\frac{ie}{\hbar c}[\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}'_1) + \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}'_2) - \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}_1) - \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}_2)]\}\Phi(\mathbf{r}'_1 - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}'_2 - \mathbf{a})\Phi^*(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{a})$$

which implies

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = f(\mathbf{a}) \exp\{\frac{ie}{\hbar c}[\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}_1) + \chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}_2)]\}\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{a})$$

where $f)\mathbf{a})$ is a position-independent phase factor, $f(0) = 1$. So Φ differ by a phase factor at differe space points.

Let us consider any two *finite* successive space translations , firstly by $\mathbf{a}$ and then by $\mathbf{b}$. Since

$$\chi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}) + \phi(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) - \phi(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}) \qquad ($$

we have

$$\chi_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}) + \chi_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}) = (\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\cdot\mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{r}) + \phi(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) - \phi(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{B}\times\mathbf{a} = \chi_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{B}\times\mathbf{a} \quad ($$

Accordingly,

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = f(\mathbf{a})f(\mathbf{b})\exp\{\frac{ie}{\hbar c}[\chi_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}_1) + \chi_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}_2)]\}\exp\{[\frac{ie}{\hbar c}\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{B}]\}\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) \quad (1$$

Thus for a closed curve C, which is in general zigzag when the translation vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, ...$ are finit $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + ... = 0$, eq.(10) together with the singlevaluedness of Φ, which is ensured by the singl valuedness of ρ_2, give

$$f(\mathbf{a})f(\mathbf{b})...\exp\{\frac{2ie}{\hbar c}\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S}\} = 1 \qquad (1$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ is the area enclosed by C with the orientation of the translation vectors. It is obvious tha

$$f(\mathbf{a})f(-\mathbf{a}) = 1 \qquad (1$$

Now suppose that the three primitive vectors of the crystal are $\mathbf{a}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$. Consider now that th closed path constituted by $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j. -\mathbf{a}_i$ and $-\mathbf{a}_j$, we have then

$$f(\mathbf{a}_i)f(\mathbf{a}_j)f(-\mathbf{a}_i)f(-\mathbf{a}_j) = \exp\{\frac{-2ie}{\hbar c}\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S}\} \qquad (1$$

The quantization of flux follows then from (12)

$$\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S} = n\frac{2\pi\hbar c}{2e} \qquad (1$$

Since the size of such a region enclosed by any two primitive vetors is of the typical order $(1\mathring{A})^2$, $n \neq 0$, B must be of the order of magnitude $B \sim (1\mathring{A})^{-2}\frac{2\pi\hbar c}{2e} \sim 10^9$ G (Note that $\mathbf{B}$ is usually parall to $\mathbf{S}$ in experiments), which is much stronger than the typical critical field strength of less than 1 G, therefore n must be zero, hence $\mathbf{B} = 0$. This states that if $\mathbf{S}$ is simply connected, i.e., the regio enclosed by C is superconducting everywhere, we have Meisner effect. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{S}$ multiconnected, i.e., it contains normal regions which are of mesoscopic sizes, n may be non-ze integers. In this case, we have the quantization of magnetic flux.

We can also draw the same conclusion in another way. Since (10) can be also expressed as

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\exp\{\frac{ie}{\hbar c}[\chi_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}_1)+\chi_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}_2)]\}\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}_2-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}) \qquad (1$$

we have

$$f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) = \exp\{[\frac{ie}{\hbar c}\mathbf{B}\cdot(\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{a})]\}f(\mathbf{a})f(\mathbf{b}) \qquad (1$$

and similarly

$$f(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{a}) = \exp\{[\frac{ie}{\hbar c}\mathbf{B}\cdot(\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b})]\}f(\mathbf{b})f(\mathbf{a}) \qquad (1$$

Since $f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) = f(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{a})$ and $f(\mathbf{a})$ are c-numbers, we infer that

$$\exp\{\frac{2ie}{\hbar c}\mathbf{B}\cdot(\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b}) = 1 \qquad (1$$

Therefore, for any two lattice vectors **a** and **b**, we always have

$$\mathbf{B}\cdot(\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b}) = n\frac{2\pi\hbar c}{2e} \qquad (1$$

The imperfection of the proof in[19] is that, when the lattice potentials are taken into accoun the translation vectors can only be lattice vectors. But for lattice vectors **a** and **b**, the multiplicatio rule is (16) instead of the following

$$f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) = f(\mathbf{a})f(\mathbf{b}) \qquad (2$$

which was used in [19] as the basis to prove the flux quantization. Eq(20) holds only for infinitesim translations if neglecting second order infinitesimals.

The relation is somewhat similar to that of magnetic translation operators which realize a ra representation of the translation group [20]. Consider the Hamiltonian of N electrons

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2m}[\mathbf{P}_i+\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{r}_i)]^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}V(\mathbf{r}_{ij})+\sum_i V_c(\mathbf{r}_i)+\sum_i\frac{e\hbar}{mc}\mathbf{s}_i\cdot\mathbf{B} \qquad (2$$

Define $\beta = \frac{e\mathbf{B}}{\hbar c}$. For any lattice vector $\mathbf{R}_m = m_1\mathbf{a}_1+m_2\mathbf{a}_2+m_3\mathbf{a}_3$, consider the operator

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_m) = \exp\{\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{R}_m\cdot\mathbf{P}_j\}\exp\{i\sum_{j=1}^{N}(\beta\times\mathbf{R}_m)\cdot\frac{\mathbf{r}_j}{2}\} \qquad (2$$

We have $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^\dagger = \mathbb{I}$ and$[\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_m),H]=0$. Since

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_m)\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_n) = \exp\{i\frac{1}{2}N\beta\cdot(\mathbf{R}_n\times\mathbf{R}_m)\}\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_m+\mathbf{R}_n) \qquad (2$$

we have

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_m)\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_n) = \exp\{iN\beta \cdot (\mathbf{R}_n \times \mathbf{R}_m)\}\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_n)\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_m) \qquad (2$$

This is what (16) is similar to. As the Bloch wave functions can be designated by the eigenvalues the translation operators, i.e., the wave vectors **k** and the Hamiltonian, in the presence of magnet field, the wave functions can be characterized by the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian and the magnet translation operators belonging to any chosen commutable set $\mathcal{R} = \{\mathbf{R} \mid [\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_m), \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}_n)] = 0\}$.

Acknowledgement S.S. Feng is grateful to Prof. S. Randjbar-Daemi for his invitation to ICT for three months. This work was supported by the Funds for Young Teachers of Shanghai Educatio Commitee and in part by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 19805004 ar No. 19775044.

References

[1] C.N. Yang & S.C. Zhang *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **B4** (1990):759. C.N. Yang *Phys. Lett.* **A 16** (1991):292. C.N. Yang *Phys. Rev Lett.* **63** (1989):2144.

[2] F.H.L. Essler, V.E. Korepin & K. Schoutens *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992):2960.

[3] G.S. Tian *Phys. Rev.* **B 45** (1992)3145.

[4] F.H.L. Essler, V.E. Korepin & K. Schoutens *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993):73.

[5] J. de Boer, V.E. Korepin & A. Schadschneider *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**(1995):789.

[6] R.R.P. Singh & R.T. Scaletta *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**(1991):3203.

[7] .S.Q. Shen & Z. M. Qiu *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993)4238.

[8] S.Q. Shen, Z.M. Qiu & G.S. Tian *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994):1280.

[9] T. Yanagisawa & Y. Shimoi *Inter. J. Mod. Phys.* **B 25** (1996):3383.

[10] G.S. Tian *Phys. Rev.* **B 50** (1994):6246.

[11] H. Tsunetsugu, M. Sigrist & K Ueda *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69** (1997):809.

[12] K. Ueda, H. Tsunetsugu & M. Sigrist *Phys. Rev. Lett* **68** (1992):1030.

[13] H. Tsunetsugu *Phys. Rev.* **B 55** (1997):3042.

[14] T. Yanagisawa *Phys. Rev.* **B 57** (1998):6208.

[15] S.Q. Shen *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B12** (1998):709.

[16] S.S. Feng *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **B12**(1998):555.

[17] C.N. Yang *Rev.Mod. Phys.* **34**(1962):694.

[18] G.L. Sewell *J. Stat.Phys.* **61** (1990):415.

[19] H.T. Nieh, G. Su & B.H. Zhao *Phys. Rev.* **B 51** (1995):3760.

[20] J. Callaway *Quantum Theory of the Solid State* (Academic Press, Inc. 1991, NY)